

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,870	03/09/2006	Hidekazu Kanagae	P70672US0	1759
136 7590 05/28/2009 JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			EXAMINER	
			KEE, FANNIE C	
SUITE 600 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/540.870 KANAGAE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Fannie Kee 3679 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 22-25 and 30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-25 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2009 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/540,870 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of a minor grammatical issue – add
the words --is provided-- after the words "An O-rine" in line 5.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

2. Claim 25 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The elements of claim 25 have been claimed in claim 22, therefore, claim 25 does not further limit claim 22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 22-25 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,870

Art Unit: 3679

Claim 22 recites "said first and second connecting hollow members being connected by a connection part having a hollow part for passing the CO2 gas through it between said first connecting hollow member and said second connecting hollow member".

How are the first and second connecting hollow members connected by a connection part? What does Applicant mean by a "connection part"? By claiming a connection part, Applicant is claiming additional structure which is not shown in the drawings or described in the specification. Figure 14 of the drawings shows a first connecting hollow member "7" and a second hollow connecting member "9". Figure 14 does not show an additional connecting part which connects the first and second connecting hollow members. Additionally, there is no additional hollow part within a connection part for passing CO2 gas being shown in the figures. The specification does not speak to a connection part, rather it speaks to the first and second connection members being connected to allow fluid communication between a first pipe and a second pipe (page 13, lines 11-15). Therefore what is Applicant trying to claim?

Claim 22 contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 30 recites "said first and second connecting hollow members being connected by a connection part having a hollow part for passing the CO2 gas through it between said first connecting hollow member and said second connecting hollow member".

Application/Control Number: 10/540,870

Art Unit: 3679

How are the first and second connecting hollow members connected by a connection part? What does Applicant mean by a "connection part"? By claiming a connection part, Applicant is claiming additional structure which is not shown in the drawings or described in the specification. Figure 14 of the drawings shows a first connecting hollow member "7" and a second hollow connecting member "9". Figure 14 does not show an additional connecting part which connects the first and second connecting hollow members. Additionally, there is no additional hollow part within a connection part for passing CO2 gas being shown in the figures. The specification does not speak to a connection part, rather it speaks to the first and second connection members being connected to allow fluid communication between a first pipe and a second pipe (page 13, lines 11-15). Therefore what is Applicant trying to claim?

Claim 30 contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 22-25 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 22 recites "the first gas seal member" in line 24. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 30 recites "the first gas seal member" in line 21. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 30 also recites "the pressing action" in line 21. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Also, with regard to claims 22-25 and 30, Applicant should review the structure of the claims as the claims do not appear to be logically arranged thereby creating indefiniteness, e.g., more than one groove is being claimed at times wherein the grooves are not designated as a first groove or a second groove and Applicant appears to be using the words "part" and "portion" interchangeably.

(Note: changes to the claims must be reflected in the specification for antecedent basis, however, Applicant should be careful not to add new matter to the specification.)

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-25 and 30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Application/Control Number: 10/540,870 Page 6

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Fannie Kee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1820. The
examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aaron M Dunwoody/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679

/F. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 3679 May 26, 2009